

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/700,970 11/04/2003		Barbara Bell	01194-463001	4489		
26161 7	590 05/30/2006		EXAMINER			
FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			KILIMAN, LESZEK B			
	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1773			
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/700,	970	BELL ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		leszek b	. kiliman	1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuser to reply within the set or extended period for reply with	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no objection. thory period will apply and Ill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION Event, however, may a reply be ting will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from poplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a)□		on o)⊠ This action is	non-final					
3)	osecution as to th	e merits is						
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	·						
_	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.							
الحارا	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-5,7,9-13,17 and 25-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	(i) Claim(s) is/are allowed. (i) Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,8,14-16 and 18-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	_							
•	· <u> </u>							
		on ana/or ciccuon	течанети.					
_	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the		_					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT v No(s)/Mail Date with application.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/700,970

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 3-6,7,9-13,17,25-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on March 13,2006.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2,6,8,14-16,18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamiya'301.

See column 2, lines 57-68, column 3, lines 1-15, column 3, lines 49-55, column 7, lines 55-68, column 8, lines 65-68, figures, examples.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/700,970

Art Unit: 1773

application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-2,6,8,14-16,18-24 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-37 of copending Application No. 10/791,103. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims of the copending application are inclusive of the instant claims...

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to leszek b. kiliman whose telephone number is 571-272-1509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 6.30-5.00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lk

PREMIN EXAMINA